#### Learning Representations of Text using Neural **Networks**

#### Tomáš Mikolov Joint work with Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, Jeff Dean, Quoc Le, Thomas Strohmann

Google Research

NIPS Deep Learning Workshop 2013

## How do we represent the meaning of a word?

Definition: **Meaning** (Webster dictionary)

- the idea that is represented by a word, phrase, etc.
- the idea that a person wants to express by using words, signs, etc.
- the idea that is expressed in a work of writing, art, etc.

## **How to represent meaning in a computer?**

### Common answer: Use a taxonomy like WordNet that has hypernyms (is-a) relationships and



## **Problems with this discrete representation**

Great as resource but missing nuances, e.g. **synonyms**: 

adept, expert, good, practiced, proficient, skillful?

- Missing new words (impossible to keep up to date): wicked, badass, nifty, crack, ace, wizard, genius, ninjia
- Subjective
- Requires human labor to create and adapt
- Hard to compute accurate word similarity  $\rightarrow$

4 **Richard Socher** 3/31/16

## **Problems with this discrete representation**

The vast majority of rule-based **and** statistical NLP work regards words as atomic symbols: hotel, conference, walk

In vector space terms, this is a vector with one 1 and a lot of zeroes

## [0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0]

Dimensionality: 20K (speech) – 50K (PTB) – 500K (big vocab) – 13M (Google 1T)

We call this a "one-hot" representation. Its problem:

 motel [0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0] AND hotel  $[0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0] = 0$ 

## One-hot encoding  $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$

- . Represent each word as a vector of zeros, except for one element
- Set the value in the vector corresponding to the index in the set to 1:

```
vocabulary = (Monday, Tuesday, is, a, today)Monday = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]Tuesday = [0 1 0 0 0]is = [0 0 1 0 0]a = [0 0 0 1 0]today = [0 0 0 0 1]
```
• This is also known as a 1-of-K encoding (with K the vocabulary size)  $A = \frac{1}{2}$ 

\* Example reproduced with permission from Mikolov.

### Related: Bag-of-words representation Palated: Bag-of-words representations

- A related representation is the bag-of-words representation for documents
- · It simply sums one-hot representation over all words in the document:

vocabulary =  $(Monday, Tuesday, is, a, today)$ Monday Monday  $= [2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$ today is a Monday =  $[1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]$ today is a Tuesday =  $[0 1 1 1 1]$ is a Monday today =  $[1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1]$ 

▪ Indeed, you could build bags-of-n-grams representations, too can be extended to be extended to baggery the capture of the capture of words of words of words and words of words of words of words and words to capture in the capture of words of words and words and words of words and wo

\* Example reproduced with permission from Mikolov.

## **Distributional similarity based representations**

You can get a lot of value by representing a word by means of its neighbors

"You shall know a word by the company it keeps"

(J. R. Firth 1957: 11) 

One of the most successful ideas of modern statistical NLP

government debt problems turning into banking crises as has happened in

saying that Europe needs unified banking regulation to replace the hodgepodge

**K** These words will represent *banking*

## **How to make neighbors represent words?**

Answer: With a cooccurrence matrix X

- 2 options: full document vs windows
- Word document cooccurrence matrix will give general topics (all sports terms will have similar entries) leading to "Latent Semantic Analysis"

• Instead: Window around each word  $\rightarrow$  captures both syntactic (POS) and semantic information

## **Window based cooccurence matrix**

- Window length 1 (more common: 5 10)
- Symmetric (irrelevant whether left or right context)
- Example corpus:
	- I like deep learning.
	- $\bullet$  I like NLP.
	- I enjoy flying.

## **Window based cooccurence matrix**

- Example corpus:
	- I like deep learning.
	- I like NLP.
	- I enjoy flying.



## **Problems with simple cooccurrence vectors**

Increase in size with vocabulary

Very high dimensional: require a lot of storage

Subsequent classification models have sparsity issues

 $\rightarrow$  Models are less robust

## **Solution: Low dimensional vectors**

- Idea: store "most" of the important information in a fixed, small number of dimensions: a dense vector
- Usually around  $25 1000$  dimensions

• How to reduce the dimensionality?

## **Method 1: Dimensionality Reduction on X**

Singular Value Decomposition of cooccurrence matrix X.



 $\hat{X}$  is the best rank  $k$  approximation to  $X$  , in terms of least squares.  $s<sub>1</sub>$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ast squares.

## **Simple SVD word vectors in Python**

Corpus: 

I like deep learning. I like NLP. I enjoy flying.

```
import numpy as np
la = np.linalgwords = ['I", "like", "enjoy","deep", "learnig", "NLP", "flying", "."]
X = np.array([10, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],[2,0,0,1,0,1,0,0],[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],[0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0][0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1][0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1][0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1],[0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0]U, s, Vh = La.svd(X, full matrices=False)
```
## **Simple SVD word vectors in Python**

Corpus: I like deep learning. I like NLP. I enjoy flying. Printing first two columns of U corresponding to the 2 biggest singular values



## **Word meaning is defined in terms of vectors**

• In all subsequent models, including deep learning models, a word is represented as a dense vector



## **Hacks to X**

- Problem: function words (the, he, has) are too frequent  $\rightarrow$  syntax has too much impact. Some fixes:
	- min(X,t), with  $t^{\sim}100$
	- Ignore them all
- Ramped windows that count closer words more
- Use Pearson correlations instead of counts, then set negative values to 0
- +++

### **Interesting semantic patters emerge in the vectors**



An Improved Model of Semantic Similarity Based on Lexical Co-Occurrence Rohde et al. 2005

### **Interesting syntactic patters emerge in the vectors**



An Improved Model of Semantic Similarity Based on Lexical Co-Occurrence Rohde et al. 2005

#### **Interesting semantic patters emerge in the vectors** Rohde, Gonnerman, Plaut Modeling Word Meaning Using Lexical Co-Occurrence



An Improved Model of Semantic Similarity Based on Lexical Co-Occurrence Rohde et al. 2005

- Computational cost scales quadratically for n x m matrix: O(*mn*<sup>2</sup>) flops (when n<m)
- $\rightarrow$  Bad for millions of words or documents

Hard to incorporate new words or documents Different learning regime than other DL models

- Distributed representations of words can be obtained from various neural network based language models:
	- Feedforward neural net language model
	- Recurrent neural net language model

# Language models

## Language models

- Language models aim to predict a word given its surrounding words
- $\bullet$  In other words, they aim to build a distribution  $\,p(\mathcal{W}) = p(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{|\mathcal{W}|})$
- Standard language models are based on n-grams:
	- $\blacksquare$  The likelihood of a sentence:  $p(\mathcal{W}) = \prod p(w_i|w_{i-1}, w_{i-2}, \ldots, w_{i-n})$  $w_i \in \mathcal{W}$
	- All of the probabilities are obtained by counting over a large corpus:

$$
p(w_i|w_{i-1}, w_{i-2}) = \frac{C(w_i, w_{i-1}, w_{i-2})}{C(w_{i-1}, w_{i-2})}
$$

## Language models

#### ▪ Example of using trigram model to compute the probability of a sentence:

 $p("NYU is an excellent university") = p("NYU") \times p("is" | "NYU") \times p("an" | "NYU", "is")$  $\times$  *p*("excellent"|"is", "an")  $\times$  *p*("university"|"an", "excellent")

- **To deal with non-observed trigrams, Kneser-Ney smoothing is often used** 
	- For bigrams, this smoother redefines the bigram probabilities as:

$$
p_{KN}(w_t|w_{t-1}) = \frac{\max(C(w_{t-1}, w_t) - \delta, 0)}{\sum_{w'} C(w_{t-1}, w')} + \alpha p_{KN}(w_t)
$$

▪ This redistribution of (n-1)-gram to n-gram probabilities is applied recursively

# A Feedforward Language Model

### ▪ We could use the following architecture for a word-prediction model:



 $F$  -gram neural net language model architecture (Bengion architecture (Bengion) (Bengion with per \* Figure reproduced with permission from Mikolov.

# A Feedforward Language Model

▪ What does the matrix **U** actually model?



 $F$  -gram neural net language model architecture (Bengion architecture (Bengion) (Bengion with per \* Figure reproduced with permission from Mikolov.

#### Feedforward Neural Net Language Model



- Four-gram neural net language model architecture (Bengio 2001)
- The training is done using stochastic gradient descent and backpropagation
- The word vectors are in matrix **U**

# Embedding

- Each column of **U** is an "embedding" of the corresponding word
- You can thus think of each word as being represented by a point that is "embedded" in a high-dimensional space
- **.** If the language model is trained well, then words that can be used interchangeably should have similar embeddings
- The training complexity of the feedforward NNLM is high:
	- Propagation from projection layer to the hidden layer
	- Softmax in the output layer
- Using this model just for obtaining the word vectors is very inefficient

# One Hidden Layer Network



### Keras implementation

```
model = Sequential()
model.add(Dense(H, input_dim=N)) # weight matrix dim [N * H]
model.add(Activation("tanh"))
                                  # weight matrix dim [H x K]
model.add(Activation("softmax"))
```
#### Efficient Learning

- The full softmax can be replaced by:
	- Hierarchical softmax (Morin and Bengio)
	- Hinge loss (Collobert and Weston)
	- Noise contrastive estimation (Mnih et al.)
	- Negative sampling (our work)
- We can further remove the hidden layer: for large models, this can provide additional speedup 1000x
	- Continuous bag-of-words model
	- Continuous skip-gram model

## Word2vec

- Word2vec is a very simple, very efficient language model:
	- It does not concatenate word embeddings, but it sums them
	- It does not use the second hidden layer
	- It does not use a multi-class logistic loss (softmax) over predictions
- **Because it is so simple**, it can be trained on billions of words
- This has made it the de-facto standard in word embedding

## Word2vec: Architectures

▪ "Continuous BoW" predicts current word given the surrounding words:



\* Figure reproduced with permission from Mikolov.

#### Word2vec: Architectures rdavoc: Architoct

▪ "Skip-gram" predicts surrounding words given the current word:



\* Figure reproduced with permission from Mikolov.






$$
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 17 & 24 & 1 \\ 23 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 & 13 \\ \frac{10 & 12 & 19}{11 & 18 & 25} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 12 & 19 \end{bmatrix}
$$



### Training the network

Find parameters  $\theta = (\mathbf{W}^h; \mathbf{b}^h; \mathbf{W}^o; \mathbf{b}^o)$  that minimize the negative log likelihood (or cross entropy)

The loss function for a given sample  $s \in S$ :

$$
l(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^s; \theta), y^s) = \text{null}(\theta; \mathbf{x}^s, y^s) = -\log \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^s; \theta)_{y^s}
$$

example

\n
$$
y^{s} = 3
$$
\n
$$
-\log \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{s}; \theta)_{y^{s}} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{0} \\ \vdots \\ f_{3} \\ \vdots \\ f_{K-1} \\ f(\mathbf{x}^{s}; \theta) \end{bmatrix} = -\log f_{3}
$$

 $41/84$ 

### **Softmax Regression**

$$
P(y^{(i)} = k | x^{(i)}; \theta) = \frac{\exp(\theta^{(k)\top} x^{(i)})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\theta^{(j)\top} x^{(i)})}
$$

$$
J(\theta) = -\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{K} 1 \{y^{(i)} = k\} \log \frac{\exp(\theta^{(k)\top} x^{(i)})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\theta^{(j)\top} x^{(i)})} \right]
$$

$$
\nabla_{\theta^{(k)}} J(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ x^{(i)} \left( 1 \{ y^{(i)} = k \} - P(y^{(i)} = k | x^{(i)}; \theta) \right) \right]
$$

http://ufldl.stanford.edu/tutorial/supervised/SoftmaxRegression/

### Maximize Conditional Log Likelihood: Gradient ascent

$$
l(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j} y^{j}(w_{0} + \sum_{i}^{n} w_{i}x_{i}^{j}) - \ln(1 + exp(w_{0} + \sum_{i}^{n} w_{i}x_{i}^{j}))
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial l(w)}{\partial w_{i}} = \sum_{j} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}} y^{j}(w_{0} + \sum_{i}^{n} w_{i}x_{i}^{j}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}} \ln\left(1 + exp(w_{0} + \sum_{i}^{n} w_{i}x_{i}^{j})\right) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{j} \left[ y^{j}x_{i}^{j} - \frac{x_{i}^{j}exp(w_{0} + \sum_{i}^{n} w_{i}x_{i}^{j})}{1 + exp(w_{0} + \sum_{i}^{n} w_{i}x_{i}^{j})} \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{j} x_{i}^{j} \left[ y^{j} - \frac{exp(w_{0} + \sum_{i}^{n} w_{i}x_{i}^{j})}{1 + exp(w_{0} + \sum_{i}^{n} w_{i}x_{i}^{j})} \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial l(w)}{\partial w_{i}} = \sum_{j} x_{i}^{j} \left( y^{j} - P(Y^{j} = 1 | x^{j}, w)) \right)
$$

### Word2vec: Loss function

▪ Word2vec minimizes a binary logistic loss on positive and negative samples:

$$
\ell(\mathbf{U}) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \log \sigma \left( \mathbf{u}_{w_t}^\top \mathbf{u}_{w_c} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^K \log \sigma \left( -\mathbf{u}_{w_t}^\top \mathbf{u}_{v'} \right) \text{ with } v' \sim P(\mathcal{V})
$$

- Learning with SGD in this loss is very efficient:
	- Take a word and its context from a text corpus
	- Sample K words (typically, 5<K<20) from the unigram distribution
	- Compute the loss and the (sparse!) gradient update
- Multithreaded implementation allows for training speed of 5M words / sec



- Efficient multi-threaded implementation of the new models greatly reduces the training complexity
- The training speed is in order of 100K 5M words per second
- Quality of word representations improves significantly with more training data

### Linguistic regularities

▪ Vector space implicitly encode regularities among words:



▪ We can exploit these regularities to do "linguistic arithmetic"

a<br>among words wo \* Figure reproduced with permission from Mikolov.

#### Linguistic Regularities in Word Vector Space

- The resulting distributed representations of words contain surprisingly a lot of syntactic and semantic information
- There are multiple degrees of similarity among words:
	- KING is similar to QUEEN as MAN is similar to WOMAN
	- KING is similar to KINGS as MAN is similar to MEN
- Simple vector operations with the word vectors provide very intuitive results
- Regularity of the learned word vector space is evaluated using test set with about 20K questions
- The test set contains both syntactic and semantic questions
- We measure TOP1 accuracy (input words are removed during search)
- We compare our models to previously published word vectors

## Linguistic regularities

- Make dataset with analogies: "A is to B like C is to D"
- Answer the question "A is to B like C is to ?" by finding the word embedding that is closest to the embedding of  $B - A + C$ Le ouesties La isle Dilie Ciste Jul



\* Table reproduced with permission from Mikolov.

### Linguistic regularities Linguistic Regularities in Word Vector Space

▪ Some examples of regularities:



\* Table reproduced with permission from Mikolov.

## Linguistic regularities

▪ Compositionally by vector addition:



\* Table reproduced with permission from Mikolov.

#### From Words to Phrases and Beyond

- Often we want to represent more than just individual words: phrases, queries, sentences
- The vector representation of a query can be obtained by:
	- Forming the phrases
	- Adding the vectors together

#### From Words to Phrases and Beyond

- Example query: *restaurants in mountain view that are not very good*
- Forming the phrases: *restaurants in (mountain view) that are (not very good)*
- Adding the vectors: *restaurants + in + (mountain view) + that + are + (not very good)*
- Very simple and efficient
- Will not work well for long sentences or documents

- We can visualize the word vectors by projecting them to 2D space
- PCA can be used for dimensionality reduction
- Although a lot of information is lost, the regular structure is often visible







# Visualizing graphs

### Introduction

- $\bullet$  Presume we are given a graph  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$
- $\bullet$  How do we learn a representation (embedding) for the vertices  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ?
	- We would like vertices that are connected to have similar embeddings
	- These embeddings we could then use in learning deep networks
	- If the embeddings are low-dimensional: use them to visualize the graph!



▪ Convert the graph to a probability distribution over vertices:

$$
p_{ij} = \frac{\exp(e_{ij})}{\sum_{k \neq l} \exp(e_{kl})}
$$

- $\bullet$  Strongly connected nodes will have large probabilities  $\,pi_{ij}$
- When given high-dimensional data, we can compute similar probabilities:
	- For instance, set  $e_{ij} = -\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2$  with  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$
	- **EXED 10 Arts is in all on the Sepannial Sepannish Constral Matrix**

▪ Measure pairwise similarities between the embeddings:



 $\bullet$  Move points around to minimize:  $KL(P||Q) = \sum$ *i*  $\sum$  $j \neq i$  $p_{ij}$   $\log \frac{p_{ij}}{n}$ *qij*



- $\blacktriangleright$  Kullback-Leibler divergence:  $KL(P||Q) = \sum$ *i*  $\sum$  $j \neq i$  $p_{ij}$   $\log \frac{p_{ij}}{n}$ *qij*
	- $\blacksquare$  Large  $p_{ij}$  modeled by small  $q_{ij}$ ? Big penalty!
	- $\bullet$  Small  $p_{ij}$  modeled by large  $\ q_{ij}$ ? Not-so-big penalty.
- t-SNE makes sure connected vertices are close together in the embedding!

### Visualization experiment

- Suppose we are given the MNIST dataset of handwritten digits
- Can we make a scatter plot that shows some of the structure in this data?
	- <u>• Approach 1:</u>
		- Apply PCA on the digits and make a scatter plot in which the data is projected onto its first two principal components
	- Approach 2:
		- Construct a k-nearest neighbor graph (or simply compute a Gaussian kernel) and run t-SNE to learn a 2D embedding that you can show in a scatter plot

### Principal Components Analysis









## Visualizing movies

- Suppose you have a collection of user-movie ratings in a large *rating matrix*
- *Decompose* the rating matrix to obtain *user features* and *movie features*:





**Street We Twice**<br>Or The Spy Wild Loved Me
## Conclusions

**Embeddings provide a way for deep learners to work on discrete data** 

▪word2vec is a popular embedding model for word representations

▪t-SNE is a popular embedding model for visualization of graphs

▪Many other embedding techniques exist, which differ in the exact choice for the loss function that they optimize

## References

▪Reading material:

- O. Levy and Y. Goldberg. **Neural Word Embedding as Implicit Matrix Factorization**. Advances in Neural Information Processing 27:2177-2185, 2014 (first two sections).
- L.J.P. van der Maaten and G.E. Hinton. **Visualizing High-Dimensional Data Using t-SNE**. Journal of Machine Learning Research 9(Nov):2579-2605, 2008.

▪Source code:

- Word2vec: https://code.google.com/archive/p/word2vec/
- t-SNE: https://lvdmaaten.github.io/tsne/